(3)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (CHHATTISGARH)

W. P. NO. 1298 OF 2005

Single Bench

PETITIONER

Raj Kumar Verma, S/ o Shri Ram Kumar Verma, aged About 42 years, Resident Of Mungeli, Tahsil Mungeli Dist. Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh)

V E R S U S

RESPONDENTS

1. State of Chhattisgarh through Secretary, Department of Education, Mantralaya, Dau Kalyan Singh Bhawan, Raipur [Chhattisgarh]
2. Director, Lok Shikshan Sanchanalaya, Dau Kalyan Singh Bhawan, Raipur (CG)
3. Collector, District Bilaspur (Chhattisgarh)
4. State of Madhya Pradesh through the Secretary, Department of Education, Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal (MP)

P.R. No. 1288/05
Presented by Shri Kiran Rathi
dated 24-3-05

RECEIVED COPY
24.3.05
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN NATURE OF CERTIORARI, MANDAMUS, PROHIBITION AND OTHER SUITABLE WRIT OR WRITS, DIRECTION OR DIRECTIONS, ORDER OR ORDERS:

XI-HC—78

(24)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P No. 1298/05 सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
5.4.2005	Shri Afroz Khan, counsel for the petitioner. Shri Sudhir Agrawal, Panel Lawyer for respondent No.1 to 3. The petitioner's case is that in the year 1981 he was appointed as Assistant Teacher in the Primary School, Nawagaon, District Bilaspur on adhoc basis for a period three months. However, after 23 days the petitioner's services discontinued. Petitioner's further case is that while filling up the vacancy of teachers in the Panchayat schools the respondents are required to consider the case of ex-adhoc teachers but the petitioner's case is not being considered by the respondents. Therefore, counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner may be allowed to make a detailed representation raising all his grievances to respondent No.2 and he be directed to decide the representation of the petitioner, to which learned counsel for the respondents has no objection. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation raising all his grievances to respondent No.2 within a period of ten days from today and in turn respondent No.2 is directed to decide the representation of the petitioner within another three months from the date of receipt of the representation after considering all the grievances raised by the petitioner in accordance with law. With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. Certified copy as per rules. **Sd/-** **L.C. Bhadoo** **Judge**	